



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,709	06/08/2007	Koichi Shudo	P28752	9187
7055 7590 02/23/2012 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				
EXAMINER RAMACHANDRAN, UMAMAHESWARI				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1627				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/23/2012		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com

greenblum.bernsteinplc@gmail.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/598,709	Applicant(s) SHUDO ET AL.	
	Examiner UMAMAHESWARI RAMACHANDRAN	Art Unit 1627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) UMAMAHESWARI RAMACHANDRAN (3) _____

(2) Atty. Arnold Turk (4) _____

Date of Interview: 01 February 2012

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 6 and 17

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicants' representative Atty. Turk was contacted for authorization to cancel claims 6 and 17 (withdrawn claims) by Examiner's Amendment as claims on Appeal (claims 2, 7, 8, 9, 16, 18, 19 have been found to be allowable.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the ~~general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.~~

☐ Attachment

/UMAMAHESWARI RAMACHANDRAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1627	
--------------------------------------------------------	--